

March 2010

Exposure Draft ED/2010/2

Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting

The Reporting Entity

Comments to be received by 16 July 2010



International
Accounting Standards
Board®

Exposure Draft

**Conceptual Framework
for Financial Reporting:
The Reporting Entity**

Comments to be received by 16 July 2010

ED/2010/2

This exposure draft *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting: The Reporting Entity* is published by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) for comment only. The proposal may be modified in the light of the comments received before being issued in final form. Comments on the exposure draft and the Basis for Conclusions should be submitted in writing so as to be received by **16 July 2010**. Respondents are asked to send their comments electronically to the IASB website (www.iasb.org), using the 'Open to Comment' page.

All responses will be put on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. However, such requests will not normally be granted unless supported by good reason, such as commercial confidence.

The IASB, the International Accounting Standards Committee Foundation (IASCF), the authors and the publishers do not accept responsibility for loss caused to any person who acts or refrains from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

Copyright © 2010 IASCF®

ISBN: 978-1-907026-65-2

All rights reserved. Copies of the exposure draft and the accompanying documents may be made for the purpose of preparing comments to be submitted to the IASB, provided such copies are for personal or intra-organisational use only and are not sold or disseminated and provided each copy acknowledges the IASCF's copyright and sets out the IASB's address in full. Otherwise, no part of this publication may be translated, reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form either in whole or in part or by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage and retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the IASCF.



International
Accounting Standards
Board®

The IASB logo/the IASCF logo/'Hexagon Device', the IASC Foundation Education logo, 'IASC Foundation', 'eIFRS', 'IAS', 'IASB', 'IASC', 'IASCF', 'IASs', 'IFRIC', 'IFRS', 'IFRSs', 'International Accounting Standards', 'International Financial Reporting Standards' and 'SIC' are Trade Marks of the IASCF.

Additional copies of this publication may be obtained from:
IASC Foundation Publications Department,
1st Floor, 30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, United Kingdom.
Tel: +44 (0)20 7332 2730 Fax: +44 (0)20 7332 2749
Email: publications@iasb.org Web: www.iasb.org

CONTENTS

paragraphs

INVITATION TO COMMENT

PREFACE

P1–P13

Developing the common conceptual framework

P5–P8

Due process

P9

Authoritative status of the framework

P10–P13

SUMMARY

S1–S3

[DRAFT] CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING

THE REPORTING ENTITY

INTRODUCTION

RE1

DESCRIPTION

RE2–RE6

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RE7–RE10

OTHER TYPES OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RE11–RE12

Parent-only financial statements

RE11

Combined financial statements

RE12

BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS ON THE REPORTING ENTITY

BC1–BC27

Invitation to comment

The International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and the US Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) invite comments on all matters in this exposure draft. Comments are helpful if they:

- (a) indicate the specific paragraph or paragraphs to which the comments relate
- (b) contain a clear rationale
- (c) include any alternative the boards should consider.

Respondents should submit one comment letter to either the IASB or the FASB. The boards will share and consider jointly all comment letters received.

Respondents must submit comments in writing by 16 July 2010.

Respondents are also invited to comment on the following questions.

- 1 Do you agree that a reporting entity is a circumscribed area of economic activities whose financial information has the potential to be useful to existing and potential equity investors, lenders and other creditors who cannot directly obtain the information they need in making decisions about providing resources to the entity and in assessing whether the management and the governing board of that entity have made efficient and effective use of the resources provided? (See paragraphs RE2 and BC4–BC7.) If not, why?
- 2 Do you agree that if an entity that controls one or more entities prepares financial reports, it should present consolidated financial statements? Do you agree with the definition of control of an entity? (See paragraphs RE7, RE8 and BC18–BC23.) If not, why?
- 3 Do you agree that a portion of an entity could qualify as a reporting entity if the economic activities of that portion can be distinguished from the rest of the entity and financial information about that portion of the entity has the potential to be useful in making decisions about providing resources to that portion of the entity? (See paragraphs RE6 and BC10.) If not, why?
- 4 The IASB and the FASB are working together to develop common standards on consolidation that would apply to all types of entities. Do you agree that completion of the reporting entity concept should not be delayed until those standards have been issued? (See paragraph BC27.) If not, why?

Preface

- P1 In May 2008 the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and the US Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) published for public comment a discussion paper, *Preliminary Views on an improved Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting: The Reporting Entity*.
- P2 The boards received 84 comment letters on that discussion paper. This exposure draft represents the IASB's views after considering respondents' comments and the views received through other outreach initiatives, including the IASB's reasons for modifying some of its preliminary views.
- P3 Both boards have published this common exposure draft for public comment. It relates to one part of the IASB's conceptual framework. The boards share the ultimate goal of adopting the improved framework as a replacement of their present frameworks.
- P4 The boards' exposure draft *The Objective of Financial Reporting and Qualitative Characteristics and Constraints of Decision-useful Financial Reporting Information* explains why the boards are reconsidering their conceptual frameworks. It also explains the process for developing the common conceptual framework. For convenience, some aspects of this process are also explained below.

Developing the common conceptual framework

- P5 The boards concluded that a comprehensive reconsideration of all concepts would not be an efficient use of their resources. Many aspects of their frameworks are consistent with each other and do not seem to need fundamental revision. Instead, the boards adopted an approach that focuses mainly on the improvement and convergence of their existing frameworks, giving priority to issues that are likely to yield standard-setting benefits in the near term.
- P6 The boards decided to focus initially on concepts applicable to business entities in the private sector. Once concepts for those entities are developed, the boards will consider the applicability of those concepts to financial reporting by other types of entities, such as not-for-profit entities in the private sector and, in some jurisdictions, business entities in the public (governmental) sector.
- P7 In this phase of the conceptual framework project the boards are considering conceptual matters relating to the reporting entity. Other active phases are considering many conceptual matters, such as:

- the objective of financial reporting and the qualitative characteristics of financial reporting information
 - the elements of financial statements
 - measurement.
- P8 The boards will consider, in later phases, matters of presentation and disclosure and, as discussed above, the applicability of the concepts in earlier phases to other types of entities.

Due process

- P9 As part of their due process, the boards plan to consult interested parties by publishing common discussion papers and exposure drafts of the common and improved framework. The boards may also consult by publishing other due process documents to seek views on particular issues before developing preliminary views on those issues. The boards also expect to continue to consult in other ways, such as through discussions with the IASB's IFRS Advisory Council, the FASB's Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Council and in round-table and other meetings with interested parties.

Authoritative status of the framework

- P10 Neither the IASB's *Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements* nor FASB Concepts Statements override authoritative standards even though some may be inconsistent with them.
- P11 IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* requires an entity preparing financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) to consider the IASB's *Framework* when there is no standard or interpretation that specifically applies to a transaction, other event or condition that deals with a similar and related issue.*
- P12 Section 105-10-05 of the FASB *Accounting Standards Codification*TM (ASC) states that FASB Concepts Statements are non-authoritative.† If guidance for a transaction or event is not specified within a source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for that entity, an entity must first consider accounting principles for similar transactions or events within authoritative GAAP and then consider non-authoritative guidance from other sources (including Concepts Statements). Although

* IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, paragraphs 10 and 11

† paragraph 105-10-05-3 of the FASB ASC

there is currently no firm plan, the FASB expects to reconsider the authoritative status of the FASB Concepts Statements at completion of the conceptual framework project, which could result in elevating its status to authoritative.

- P13 In a separate project, the two boards are reconsidering the requirements for preparing consolidated financial statements. The boards believe that the reporting entity concept in this exposure draft is consistent with the approaches they are likely to pursue in the standards-level project.

Summary

The reporting entity

- S1 A reporting entity is a circumscribed area of economic activities whose financial information has the potential to be useful to existing and potential equity investors, lenders and other creditors who cannot directly obtain the information they need in making decisions about providing resources to the entity and in assessing whether the management and the governing board of that entity have made efficient and effective use of the resources provided.
- S2 An entity controls another entity when it has the power to direct the activities of that other entity to generate benefits for (or limit losses to) itself. If an entity that controls one or more entities prepares financial reports, it should present consolidated financial statements.
- S3 A portion of an entity could qualify as a reporting entity if the economic activities of that portion can be distinguished objectively from the rest of the entity and financial information about that portion of the entity has the potential to be useful in making decisions about providing resources to that portion of the entity.

[DRAFT] CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Reporting Entity

Introduction

- RE1 The objective of general purpose financial reporting is to provide financial information about the reporting entity that is useful in making decisions about providing resources to the entity and in assessing whether the management and the governing board of that entity have made efficient and effective use of the resources provided. The reporting entity concept is intended to further this objective.

Description

- RE2 A reporting entity is a circumscribed area of economic activities whose financial information has the potential to be useful to existing and potential equity investors, lenders and other creditors who cannot directly obtain the information they need in making decisions about providing resources to the entity and in assessing whether management and the governing board of that entity have made efficient and effective use of the resources provided.
- RE3 A reporting entity has three features:
- (a) economic activities of an entity are being conducted, have been conducted or will be conducted;
 - (b) those economic activities can be objectively distinguished from those of other entities and from the economic environment in which the entity exists; and
 - (c) financial information about the economic activities of that entity has the potential to be useful in making decisions about providing resources to the entity and in assessing whether the management and the governing board have made efficient and effective use of the resources provided.

These features are necessary but not always sufficient to identify a reporting entity.

- RE4 Identifying a reporting entity in a specific situation requires consideration of the boundary of the economic activities that are being conducted, have been conducted or will be conducted. The existence of a legal entity is neither necessary nor sufficient to identify a reporting entity. A reporting entity can include more than one entity or it can be a portion of a single entity.
- RE5 A single legal entity that conducts economic activities and does not control any other entity is likely to qualify as a reporting entity. Most, if not all, legal entities have the potential to be reporting entities. However, a single legal entity may not qualify as a reporting entity if, for example, its economic activities are commingled with the economic activities of another entity and there is no basis for objectively distinguishing their activities. In some jurisdictions, there may be questions about whether those entities are separate entities under the law.
- RE6 A portion of an entity could qualify as a reporting entity if the economic activities of that portion can be distinguished objectively from the rest of the entity and financial information about that portion of the entity has the potential to be useful in making decisions about providing resources to that portion of the entity. For example, a potential equity investor could be considering a purchase of a branch or division of an entity.

Consolidated financial statements

- RE7 An entity controls another entity when it has the power to direct the activities of that other entity to generate benefits for (or limit losses to) itself.
- RE8 If one entity controls another entity, the cash flows and other benefits flowing from the controlling entity to its equity investors, lenders and other creditors often depend significantly on the cash flows and other benefits obtained from the entities it controls, which in turn depend on those entities' activities and the controlling entity's direction of those activities. Accordingly, if an entity that controls one or more entities prepares financial reports, it should present consolidated financial statements. Consolidated financial statements are most likely to provide useful information to the greatest number of users.
- RE9 Two or more entities may share the power to direct the activities of another entity to generate benefits for (or limit losses to) themselves. In this case, none of the entities that share the power to direct the activities of this other entity individually controls this other entity. Accordingly, none of these entities would present information about itself and this other entity on a consolidated basis.

- RE10 If one entity has *significant influence* over another entity, it does not control that other entity. The entity's ability to influence the activities of another entity without actually being able to direct those activities does not constitute power over that other entity.

Other types of financial statements

Parent-only financial statements

- RE11 A controlling entity may present financial statements that provide information about its investments in the entities it controls, and the returns on those investments, rather than the economic resources and claims, and changes in those economic resources and claims, of those entities it controls. Such 'parent-only' financial statements might provide useful information if they are presented together with consolidated financial statements.

Combined financial statements

- RE12 Combined financial statements include information about two or more commonly controlled entities. Combined financial statements do not include information about the controlling entity and are often prepared when the controlling entity does not prepare financial reports. Combined financial statements might provide useful information about the commonly controlled entities as a group.

Basis for Conclusions on the Reporting Entity

Introduction

- BC1 This Basis for Conclusions summarises considerations that members of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) thought significant in reaching the conclusions about the reporting entity concept. It includes reasons for accepting some alternatives and rejecting others. Individual Board members gave greater weight to some factors than to others.
- BC2 In May 2008 the IASB and the US Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) published for public comment the discussion paper, *Preliminary Views on an improved Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting: The Reporting Entity*. The two boards received 84 comment letters on that discussion paper. This exposure draft sets out the IASB's views, including its reasons for modifying some of its preliminary views, after considering respondents' comments and information received through other outreach initiatives.

The reporting entity

Introduction

- BC3 The IASB's *Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements* defines the *reporting entity* as 'an entity for which there are users who rely on the financial statements as their major source of financial information about the entity' (paragraph 8). The FASB's *Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts* do not contain a definition of a reporting entity or a discussion of how to identify one.

Description of a reporting entity

- BC4 The description of a reporting entity in this conceptual framework is designed to be consistent with the objective of financial reporting. That objective refers to a reporting entity, and therefore a reporting entity needs to be identified to achieve this objective.
- BC5 The discussion paper proposed that reporting entities should not be limited to legal entities but should be described as 'a circumscribed area of business activity of interest to present and potential equity investors,

lenders and other capital providers.’ Most respondents to the discussion paper agreed that a reporting entity should not be limited to business activities that are structured as legal entities.

- BC6 However, many respondents asked the boards to clarify whether the term *business* in the description of a reporting entity in the discussion paper had the same meaning as that defined in IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* (as revised in 2008) or of the FASB *Accounting Standards Codification*TM Topic 805 *Business Combinations* (originally issued as SFAS 141 (revised 2007) *Business Combinations*). Some respondents suggested that the boards should consider other descriptions. The Board concluded that the definition of a *business* in those standards was intended for a different purpose and was too restrictive for use in the reporting entity concept. The term *economic* avoids that problem, and is likely to work better when the boards consider how the concepts apply to not-for-profit entities.
- BC7 Some respondents to the discussion paper asked the boards to clarify the nature of the ‘interest’ of investors, lenders and other creditors as discussed in the description of the reporting entity. As a result, the reporting entity description now includes the phrase ‘useful ... in making decisions ...’ rather than ‘of interest’. That phrase conveys the intended meaning more clearly.
- BC8 Some respondents said that the description of a reporting entity in the discussion paper would preclude inactive entities or entities that have not begun to operate from being a reporting entity. The Board did not intend to exclude those entities and that point is explicit in paragraph RE3(a).
- BC9 Some respondents said that all legal entities should qualify as reporting entities by themselves. The Board disagreed because in some situations the boundaries between two legal entities may be artificial. For example, two legal entities may commingle their resources, claims and operations to the extent that the economic activities of the two entities cannot be objectively distinguished. Rather, two such entities are likely to constitute a single reporting entity.
- BC10 The Board affirmed its preliminary view that a reporting entity need not be a legal entity. Although many economic activities are conducted within a legal structure, such as a corporation, trust, partnership or incorporated society, not all are conducted that way. Some economic activities might be conducted by a sole proprietorship that is not legally separate from its owner, but there may be need for a general purpose financial report relating to those activities, for example, to seek funding from a bank or to provide information to prospective purchasers of the

set of economic activities. In some jurisdictions, an unincorporated branch of an overseas corporation might be required to provide financial information to existing and potential lenders or other creditors of that branch.

Control of an entity

- BC11 The discussion paper addressed issues related to *control of an entity* in detail. Although respondents generally agreed with the Board's preliminary views, some said that the issues should be dealt with at the standards level. The Board agreed and concluded that the conceptual framework should define *control of an entity* in general terms only and that the details should be specified at the standards level.
- BC12 The concept of control is used both in the definitions of assets in existing conceptual frameworks and in accounting standards for determining the composition of a group of entities to be reported on as a single reporting entity. The Board affirmed its view in the discussion paper that it is not necessary to align the basis for determining the composition of a group of entities to be reported on as a single reporting entity with the definition of assets. The definitions of assets in existing conceptual frameworks refer to an entity and, thus, it could be argued that it is circular to use the definition of assets to determine what constitutes the entity. The same reasoning could apply to the definition of liabilities and other elements of financial statements. Accordingly, the Board concluded that the reporting entity concept should first determine what constitutes the entity that is reporting, and only then should the definition of assets (and other elements of financial statements) be applied to *that* entity.
- BC13 In its ordinary sense, *control* is defined as follows:
- The fact of controlling, or of checking and directing action; the function or power of directing and regulating; domination, command, sway. [*Oxford English Dictionary*, Second Edition, 1989.]
- Therefore, *control* may be viewed as a synonym of power, in particular, the power to direct something.
- BC14 However, for financial reporting purposes, control also has a benefit element that serves to exclude situations in which one entity may have power over another entity as a trustee or agent for a third party. This is consistent with most, if not all, current definitions of *control of an entity* used in the accounting literature.

- BC15 *Control of an entity* refers to both power and benefits. The benefits referred to are both positive and negative and could have been loosely described as risks and rewards. Some respondents to the discussion paper urged the boards to base the composition of a group reporting entity on risks and rewards alone (ie without the notion of power). The discussion paper presented the view of the boards that the notion of risks and rewards, by itself, is not a conceptually robust basis for determining the composition of a group reporting entity. The basic idea was so broad that in order to place what seem like reasonable and necessary limits on which parties should be included in the group, it would be necessary to develop criteria that would involve drawing some bright lines, such as the minimum level of exposure to risks or entitlement to rewards. Most respondents agreed that determining the composition of a group reporting entity based on risks and rewards alone was not appropriate.
- BC16 Some respondents to the discussion paper asked the boards to clarify how to determine a reporting entity when two or more entities share the power to direct the activities of another entity. The Board concluded that if two or more entities have *joint control* of another entity, none of the entities that share the power to direct the activities of this other entity individually controls this other entity.
- BC17 Both boards have standards for reporting relationships referred to as *significant influence*. IAS 28 *Investments in Associates* defines *significant influence* as ‘the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investee but is not control or joint control over those policies’. ASC Topic 323 *Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures* (originally issued as Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18 *The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock*) has a similar definition. The Board affirmed its preliminary view that if an entity has *significant influence* over another entity, it does not control that other entity. Unlike control, significant influence is not exclusive. Only one entity can control an entity, but several entities can have significant influence over it.

Consolidated financial statements

- BC18 Identifying the boundary of a reporting entity is not usually difficult if the economic activities are conducted within a single legal entity and that entity does not control any other entity. However, the same (or very similar) economic activities could be conducted using two or more entities, one of which controls the other(s).

- BC19 The following example illustrates this point. Entity A conducts two sets of economic activities within the same entity. The prospects for future cash flows from Entity A to its equity investors, lenders and other creditors depend on the success of both sets of economic activities. Because the degree of risk, expected profitability, opportunities for expansion and other important factors may be different for the two sets of economic activities, the entity's cash flow prospects are affected by how management allocates resources between the two sets of economic activities. An equity investor, for example, cannot invest in one set of economic activities without simultaneously investing in the other. Thus, both are part of the same circumscribed area of economic activities that is Entity A.
- BC20 Entity B conducts the same two sets of economic activities as Entity A, but it uses two legal entities. One legal entity conducts the first set of economic activities and holds all of the voting equity of the second legal entity, which conducts the second set of economic activities. In this case, the first legal entity's management or governing board has the power over both legal entities, one directly and one through its ability to participate in choosing the management or the members of the governing board of the second legal entity. The equity investors of the first legal entity have investments in both sets of economic activities. Their returns depend on the success or failure of the two legal entities viewed together as a single unit.
- BC21 Although Entity A and Entity B are structured differently, the circumscribed area whose financial information has the potential to be useful to the greatest number of equity investors, lenders and other creditors of the two entities who cannot directly obtain the information they need would include the economic activities of both entities.
- BC22 The Board concluded that the boundaries of a reporting entity should be determined on the basis of *control of an entity*. An entity controls another entity when it has the power to direct the activities of that other entity to generate benefits for (or limit losses to) itself.
- BC23 Consolidated financial statements include information about the resources and claims, and changes in those resources and claims, of a controlling entity and all entities it controls. The Board concluded that if an entity that controls one or more entities prepares financial reports, it should present consolidated financial statements.

Parent-only financial statements

- BC24 In some jurisdictions, it is common for both parent-only financial statements and consolidated financial statements to be presented when an entity controls one or more entities. As discussed in paragraph RE7, the Board concluded that if an entity that controls one or more entities prepares financial reports, it should present consolidated financial statements. However, the Board also concluded that parent-only financial statements might provide useful information if they are presented together with consolidated financial statements. For example, parent-only financial statements might be helpful in assessing the level of dividends the controlling entity is legally able to pay without depending on transferring funds from the controlled entities.

Combined financial statements

- BC25 As noted in paragraph RE7, the Board concluded that if an entity that controls one or more entities prepares financial reports, it should present consolidated financial statements. However, not all controlling entities prepare financial reports. For example, the controlling entity could be an individual or a group of individuals, such as a family. If so, combined financial statements might provide useful information about the commonly controlled entities as a group.

Proportionate consolidation

- BC26 Despite its name, proportionate consolidation is a method of accounting for an investment in another entity, instead of a method of reporting economic resources and claims of a controlled entity. Thus, the Board decided not to address proportionate consolidation.

Standards-level project on consolidation

- BC27 Some respondents to the discussion paper said that the boards should work on the reporting entity concept only when they have completed their standards-level projects on consolidation. The Board acknowledged that it was working together with the FASB to develop common standards on consolidation that would apply to all types of entities. However, the main purpose of the conceptual framework is to aid in developing standards, which implies that concepts come first. In any case, the reporting entity concept is stated in general terms and is not likely to conflict with any conclusions that the Board has reached to date in the

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING

standards-level projects. Accordingly, the Board concluded that the publication of this exposure draft and the completion of the reporting entity concept should not be delayed until the common standards on consolidation have been issued.